arXiv:1411.0813v1 [cs.FL] 4 Nov 2014

# An Intuitive Procedure for Converting PDA to CFG, by Construction of Single State PDA

Arjun Bhardwaj* N.S. Narayanaswamy†

Novemeber 1, 2013

## 1 Introduction

We present here the proof for an alternative procedure to convert a Push Down Automata (PDA) into a Context Free Grammar (CFG). The procedure involves intermediate conversion to a single state PDA. In view of the authors, this conversion is conceptually simpler than the approach presented in [1] and can serve as a teaching aid for the relevant topics. For details on CFG and PDA, the reader is referred to [1].

## 2 Construction Procedure : Multistate PDA to Single State PDA

For a Push Down Automata (PDA) that accepts by null store : N = $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_o, Z_o)$, we can construct an equivalent single state PDA : $N_s$ = $(Q_s, \Sigma, \Gamma_s, \delta_s, q_m, Z_s)$, such that $Q_s = \{q_m\}$, and $\Gamma_s$ is of the form [pXq] where $p, q \in Q$, $X \in \Gamma$. $\delta_s$ is constructed by following rules :

1. For every $\delta(p, a, X) = (q, \epsilon)$, we add $\delta_s(q_m, a, [pXq]) = (q_m, \epsilon)$
2. For every $\delta(p, a, X) = (q, B_1, B_2...B_l)$, $B_i \in \Gamma$, we add $\delta_s(q_m, a, [pXq]) = (q_m, [qB_1s_1][s_1B_2s_2]...[s_lB_lq])$, $s_i \in Q$
3. $\delta(q_m, \epsilon, Z_s) = (q_m, [q_oZ_os_i])$ for all $s_i \in Q$

*School of Computing and Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, India, bhardwaj.arjun.14@gmail.com

†Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, India, swamy@iitm.ac.in

## 2.1 Proof

We provide a formal proof for the following claim : $L(N) = L(N_S)$

### 2.1.1 (IF part) $L(N) \subseteq L(N_s)$

To prove this, we first prove the following claim :
$if : (p, w, X) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$
$then : (q_m, w, [pXq]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ This is proved by induction on the number of steps in which X is popped off by the one state automata.

Base : $(p, a, X) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. This is possible only if $a \in (\Sigma \cup \epsilon)$ and $\delta(p, a, X) \in (q, \epsilon)$. Then, according to construction, there is a corresponding entry in transition table of multistate automaton i.e. $\delta(q_m, a, [pXq]) \in (q_m, \epsilon)$.

Inductive step : assume that if $(p, w, X) \overset{j}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, then $(q_m, w, [pXq]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$; for $j \leq n$. Consider $a \in \Sigma \cup \epsilon$ and $\beta \in \Sigma^*$ such that $(p, a\beta, X) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (t, \beta, B_1...B_k) \overset{n}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ i.e. X is popped off in n+1 steps. Since $\beta$ is the string that gets processed as PDA N pops off all of $B_i$, we can decompose $\beta$ into $\beta_1\beta_2...\beta_k$ such that $\delta(t, \beta_1, B_1) \overset{n_1}{\mapsto} (t_1, \epsilon)$, $\delta(t_{i-1}, \beta_i, B_i) \overset{n_i}{\mapsto} (t_i, \epsilon)$ ... $\delta(t_{k-1}, \beta_k, B_k) \overset{n_i}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_k = n$.
The rule for single state automaton corresponding to one responsible for $(p, a\beta, X) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (t, \beta, B_1...B_k)$, yields $(q_m, a\beta, X) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, \beta, [tB_1t_1]...[t_{k-1}B_kq])$. further, by induction hypothesis, $(q_m, \beta_1, [tB_1t_1]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, $(q_m, \beta_i, [t_{i-1}Bt_i]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $(q_m, \beta_k, [t_{k-1}B_kq])$. thus, $(q_m, \beta_1...\beta_k, [pB_1t_1]...[t_k - 1B_kq]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, proving the claim.
As a special case of the claim, consider : $(p, w, Z_o) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ i.e. $w \in L(N)$. then for $N_s$, $(q_m, w, [pZ_oq]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. Using construction rule 3, $(q_m, w, Z_s) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ i.e. $w \in L(N_s)$

### 2.1.2 (ELSE part) $L(N_s) \subseteq L(N)$

To prove this, we first prove the following claim :
$if : (q_m, w, [pXq]) \overset{n}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$
$then : (p, w, X) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$
We provide a proof by induction on the no of steps taken by single state automata to pop off [pXq].

Base : if $(q_m, w, [pXq]) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. Then there must be a rule in $N_s$ : $\delta_s(q_m, w, [pXq]) = (q_m, \epsilon)$, where $w \in \Sigma \cup \epsilon$. According to construction, this rule derives from $\delta(p, w, X) = (q, \epsilon)$ in N. thus, $(p, w, X) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Inductive case : assume that if : $(q_m, w, [pXq]) \overset{j}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ then : $(p, w, X) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, for $j \leq n$. Consider $(q_m, a\beta, [pXq]) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, \beta, [s_1 B_1 s_2][s_2 B_2 s_3]...[s_k B_k q]) \overset{n}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon)$. Since all the symbols stacked after the first move in place of $[pXq]$ will all be popped off, we can decompose $\beta = \beta_1 ... \beta_k$ such that $(q_m, \beta_i, [s_i B_i s_{i+1}]) \overset{n_i}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = n$, $s_{k+1} = q$. by inductive hypothesis, each of such transitions corresponds to $(s_i, \beta_i, B_i) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (s_{i+1}, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ for PDA N. Also, the first transition of $N_s$ corresponds to presence of rule $\delta(p, a, X) = (s1, B_1 B_2 ... B_k)$. thus, $(p, a\beta, X) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (s_1, \beta_1 ... \beta_k, B_1 B_2 ... B_k) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (s_2, \beta_2 ... \beta_k, B_2 ... B_k) ... \overset{*}{\mapsto} (s_k, \beta_k, B_k) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. Thus $a\beta \in L(N)$, completing the proof of the above mentioned claim.

Consider $w \in L(N_s)$. Then for some $s_1 \in Q$, $(q_m, w, Z_s) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, w, [q_o Z_o s_1]) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. Using the claim, we can assert $(q_o, w, Z_o) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (s_1, \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Thus, for a single state PDA $N_s$ constructed from multistate PDA N by the procedure described above, $L(N) = L(N_s)$.

# 3 construction : single state PDA to grammar G

For a single State PDA $N_s = (Q_s, \Sigma, \Gamma_s, \delta_s, q_m, Z_s)$, we construct a grammar G = (V,T,P,S), such that L(G) = L($N_s$), where V = $\Gamma_s$, T = $\Sigma$ and S = $Z_s$. the following rules outline the set of productions, P :

1. For every $(q_m, a, Z) = (q_m, \gamma)$, we add $Z \rightarrow a\gamma$, where $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$, $Z \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_s^*$

## 3.1 proof

Here we describe the proof for the following claim : $L(G) = L(N_s)$

### 3.1.1 (IF part) $L(G) \subseteq L(N_s)$

To prove this we first prove the following claim :
$if : A \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$
$then : (q_m, w, A) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$,
where $A \in V, w \in \Sigma^*$. Proof is by induction on the number of steps in the derivation of w.

Base : $A \overset{1}{\rightarrow} w$. This indicates the presence of identical production in P. By construction, we can conclude the presence of $(q_m, w, A) = (q_m, \epsilon)$. Thus, $(q_m, w, A) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Induction : assume that if $A \xrightarrow{j} w$, then $(q_m, w, A) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, for $j \leq n$. Consider the left derivation of the string $v \in \Sigma^*$, such that $A \xrightarrow{1} aY_1Y_2...Y_k \xrightarrow{n} v$, where $Y_i \in V$, $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$. Since v has a leftmost derivation, each $Y_i$ is replaced by a part of the terminal string $v$ i.e. $Y_i \xrightarrow{n_i} z_i$, where $az_1z_2...z_k = v$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_k = n$. by inductive hypothesis, $(q_m, z_i, Y_i) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. Further the first step in derivation indicates the presence of rule $\delta_s(q_m, a, A) = (q_m, Y_1Y_2...Y_k)$ in $N_s$, such that $(q_m, a, A) \overset{q}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, Y_1Y_2...Y_k)$. Thus, $(q_m, A, az_1...z_k) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, Y_1...Y_k, z_1...z_k) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, Y_2...Y_k, z_2...z_k)... \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$
For the special case of $A = Z_s$, the claim yields : if $Z_s \xrightarrow{*} w$, then $(q_m, w, Z_s) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. Thus, if w is derived by G, then it is accepted by $N_s$ i.e. $L(G) \subseteq L(N_s)$.

### 3.1.2 (THEN part) $L(N_s) \subseteq L(G)$

To prove this we first prove the following claim :
$if : (q_m, w, A) \overset{*}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ $then : A \xrightarrow{*} w$, where $A \in V, w \in \Sigma^*$
This is proved by induction on the number of state transitions taken by $N_s$ to reach $(q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Base : $(q_m, w, A) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. This is possible only due to the presence of rule $\delta(q_m, w, A) = (q_m, \epsilon)$. According to construction, G has the following production : A→w. Thus, w is derived by G.

Induction : Assume that if $(q_m, w, A) \overset{j}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, then $A \xrightarrow{*} w$, for $j \leq n$. Consider $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$ and $v \in \Sigma^*$, such that $(q_m, av, A) \overset{1}{\mapsto} (q_m, v, A_1A_2...A_k) \overset{n}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. The fist step indicated the presence of the rule $\delta(q_m, a, A) = (q_m, A_1A_2...A_k)$ in $N_s$ and correspondingly the production $A \rightarrow aA_1A_2...A_k$ in P. Since all of the stack symbols $A_i$ are eventually popped off, we can decompose $v$ into $v_1v_2...v_k$ such that $(q_m, v_i, A_i) \overset{n_i}{\mapsto} (q_m, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = n$ and $v_i \in \Sigma^*$. By inductive hypothesis, this indicates the presence of derivations $A_i \rightarrow v_i$. Thus, we have a sequence of derivations $A \xrightarrow{1} aA_1...A_k \xrightarrow{*} av_1A_2...A_k \xrightarrow{*} av_1...v_k$. Thus proved.

Consider as a special case A=$Z_s$. Then, if $av$ is accepted by $N_s$, it is derived by G. We can conclude $L(N_s) \subseteq L(G)$.

So, $L(N_s) = L(G)$.

## References


[1] Hopcroft, J. E., and Ullman, J. D. *Introduction To Automata Theory, Languages, And Computation*, 1st ed. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Boston, MA, USA, 1990.